UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,658	04/10/2000	Rick A. Briggs	CKING.036CP1	2398
	7590 06/18/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST	REET	OMOTOSHO, EMMANUEL		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	H			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/545,658	BRIGGS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
-	Emmanuel Omotosho	3714		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AND A SECTION ASSESSMENT OF THE SECTION ASSE	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/8/5 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowation of the condition	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 33-66 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-66 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap ority documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application -		

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 33-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5865680 to Briggs in further view of US Patent No. 6,404,409 B1 to Solomon, US Patent No. 5114155 to Tillery et al. and US Patent No. 6371375 B1 to Ackley et al.
- 3. In regards to claims 33-35, Briggs teaches an interactive gaming system comprising one more play modules disposed within the play structure and sized and configured to receive or support one or more play participants playing in, on or around each said play module (Fig 1). Briggs further teaches the play modules comprising multiple play elements operatively associated with each said play module comprising one or more interactive games or challenges configured to be played or completed by one or more participants as part of an overall quest or mission (Fig 1 Paragraph 2 lines 20-40). Briggs also teaches the idea of the game challenges being completed in the proper order before another module can be played or completed (Paragraph 4 lines 22-25). Briggs further teaches using kinetic energy to operate one or more play elements (Paragraph 3 lines 1-5). Furthermore, Briggs reference teaches that any desirable

Art Unit: 3714

game theme can be implemented with the play structure (Paragraph 3 lines 3-5). However, Briggs lacks in explicitly disclosing that the game theme is a wizardry/fairy type theme where the use of a portable indicium such as a wand as a play element is involve.

- 4. Solomon teaches such theme where a toy wand could be used in a gaming environment (Abstract Fig 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented a wizardry/fairy type game theme with Briggs play structure where one of the play elements comprises of Solomon's wand where in the kinetic energy required to activate such play element is shaking, waving, stroking, and/or tapping. This will further add to the entertaining factor of Briggs invention (Briggs Page 1 lines 9-11). The motivation comes from Briggs Paragraph 3 lines 3-5 where it states that other game themes could be implemented.
- 5. In regards to claim 36 and 62, Briggs teaches the play structure to be a multi-level play structure (Paragraph 5 lines 9-13).
- 6. In regards to claims 38,50,53 and 64, Briggs teaches the play modules arranged sequentially and interconnected by one or more slides such that a first group of games or challenges associated with a first play module are necessary to be played or completed before a second group of games or challenges associated with a second play module can be played (Paragraph 5 lines 46-57 Paragraph 9 lines 38-47).
- 7. In regards to claims 41 and 51 Briggs teaches the game system further comprising one or more challenge connections bridging two or more play modules,

Art Unit: 3714

comprising a slide, rope bridge, trolley, swing, cargo net or ladder (Paragraph 5 lines 46-57).

- 8. In regards to claims 37,39,40, 42-49, 52, 54-61,63 and 65-66 Briggs and Solomon teaches the features of all the present invention as described above but lacks in explicitly disclosing
 - a. A scoreboard for displaying the progress of the participants
 - b. Storing and receiving data through the use of radio frequency without the use of a central network system
 - c. Actual storage of a player progress data and identification on a portable indicium and allowing access and determination of player progress with or without a central network system
- 9. Tillery teaches the idea of storing a player's progress data and identification data during a game session (lines 12-17 of abstract; Fig. 1, #60 and #45; player card readers #45 read and write to the player cards- col. 4, lines 42-46). The examiner further interprets this as communicating with a read/write devices that is associated with the interactive game/challenge. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include this feature disclosed by Tillery in the system of Briggs modified by Solomon. This feature would provide the system a way to facilitate the saving/pausing of a game especially in the common gaming case where the player leaves the game for a bathroom break.
- 10. Tillery also teaches the idea of displaying players progress and standings on a display (scoreboard) (Abstract last line). It would have been obvious to a person of

Art Unit: 3714

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the display feature disclosed by Tillery in the system of Briggs modified by Solomon. This feature would aid the players in analyzing their current standings and progress in the game.

- 11. However, Tillery still fail to explicitly disclose the actual storage of a player progress data and identification on a portable indicium and allowing access and determination of player progress through the use of radio frequencies with or without a central network system
- 12. Ackley et al. teaches a method for associating data with a wireless memory device. Ackley et al. further teaches that the method can be used for storing and retrieving data, utilizing radio frequency tag having a memory for storing the data with a first identifier stored in memory (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious for someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Ackley et al.'s method into the wand as a way of tracking the wand/player and wirelessly monitoring the challenges/spell successfully casted by player. This will also further provide a more compact and cheaper play structure since the system is wireless and no central network system is required.
- 13. In regards to claim 43, Briggs teaches the facility comprises one or more play modules sized and configured to receive or support said one or more play participants playing in, on or around each said play module (Fig 1.)

Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Omotosho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3106. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 10-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

6/8/07